European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, 2020, 7(5):108-113



Research Article

ISSN: 2394 - 658X

Driving Scalability and Data Flow Improvements in ERP Systems through Effective Requirement Gathering

Manoj Gudala

Business Analyst Radio Mirchi - Entertainment Network India Ltd (ENIL) Hyderabad, Telangana 500047, India manojgudala31@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper reviews the role of effective requirement gathering in increasing scalability and data flow of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems. While organizations are making ever-increasing demands for adaptable and efficient ERP solutions, structured requirement-gathering processes assume paramount significance. We analyze the current trends in methodologies, outcomes, and emerging trends in the development of ERPs and offer insights into how implementations should be optimized for continuous business growth. It is observed that proper requirement gathering at the initiation reduces implementation risks and enhances the scalability of the system with efficient data flow, which could bring about cost savings of up to 30% on any ERP project, according to our findings from a careful study and analysis of recent implementations and industry surveys.

Keywords: Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP), Requirement gathering, Scalability, Data flow, Implementation optimization, Business growth, Cost savings, System efficiency, Methodology trends

INTRODUCTION

Modern organizations are driven by Enterprise Resource Planning Systems, which provide a single platform through which all core business functions and data can be flowed. The fast-developing technological advances and increasing organizational complexities further increase the demand for scalable ERP solutions to manage complicated operations and seamless data integration. This paper will posit that effective requirement gathering is the basis for attaining these objectives, which ensures very close alignment of ERP systems to organizational goals and operational realities. Recent studies indicate that more than 60% of the implementation of an ERP system cross their budgets or timelines; inadequate requirement gathering has been cited as the main factor. As the organisation invests heavily in digital transformation initiatives, the robustness and scalability imperative for ERP systems has never been greater. This research aims to explain the crucial requirement-gathering step in any ERP implementation, which will bring long-term scalability and efficiency in terms of both scalability and efficiency.

IMPORTANCE OF REQUIREMENT GATHERING IN ERP DEVELOPMENT

Successful requirement gathering is the spine of any ERP project. This means clearly determining what it wants to accomplish and how; this includes the business and technical aspects of the project. The business requirements define organizational goals and outcomes expected out of an ERP setting. On the other hand, technical requirements explain how such goals will be achieved through system functionalities and processes. These requirements are normally gathered once a project has started but need to be constantly monitored and refined throughout the life cycle of a project for any changes or evolving business needs.

A. Risks of Inadequate Requirement Gathering

A high risk in ERP implementation is poor requirement gathering. Surveys, like the one conducted by Panorama Consulting in 2019 [1], show that most of the organizations fail in operations mainly because the implemented ERP system does not match their requirement. These high risks due to poor requirement gathering revolve around misalignment with existing business processes, being unable to scale for future growth, resistance from system adoption due to users, escalating project costs, and increasing time in implementation. Hence, these issues serve to

underline how the imperative need for comprehensive requirement gathering is to stand all possible risks in abeyance and ensure successful implementation of ERP as per organizational strategies.

B. Benefits of Structured Requirement Gathering

As such, structured requirement gathering greatly provides help in aligning the ERP project to develop a system that would meet business objectives and operational requirements. Systematically captured and documented business needs will therefore ensure a developed ERP system, bind to support strategic goals of a business, and elevate operational efficiency. The structured requirement gathering will thus provide a base for coming up with scalable ERP architecture designs to accommodate any business environment changes.

STRUCTURED REQUIREMENT GATHERING PROCESS

A. Key Elements

Some of the critical elements in structured requirement gathering for ERP development that will ensure complete understanding and alignment with organizational goals are as follows:

1) Identification of Stakeholders and Stakeholder Engagement: This phase involves identification of relevant stakeholders at various levels within an organization, ranging from executive to end-user. Stakeholder mapping makes sure that the views and requirements of all stakeholders are considered in an ERP project life cycle [2].

2) Business Process Mapping: Business organizations, using techniques like BPMN, document the current workflow of business processes and define the future state that is desired. This forms a base for understanding the interdependencies of processes and how they finally work in an ERP system [3].

3) Data Flow Analysis: Organizations use tools such as data flow diagrams to analyze and identify how data moves through various stages of business processes. It facilitates the identification of data integration points, bottlenecks, and opportunities for smoothing data flow within the ERP system architecture.

4) Scalability Considerations: This involves forecasting future growth and/or estimated changes in business models within the requirement gathering of an ERP, so that in case of increases in transaction volumes, users, complexities in operations, etc., such an ERP solution would ensure to stretch itself for accommodating such increase.

5) Requirement Prioritization: Stakeholders use frameworks such as MoSCoW (Must have, Should have, Could have, Won't have) to group and prioritize the features of an ERP system based on the more critical business needs. This provides clear visibility into which functionalities development efforts need to be focused on first, and which don't need to, at least for the time being, thus allocating resources properly [4].

B. Best Practices

Organizations, for a proper gathering and documenting of all ERP requirements, follow best practices in establishing clarity, collaboration, and system alignment in the requirements management process [5]. This includes:

1) Diverse Techniques: Multiple methodologies, such as Joint Application Development sessions, contextual inquiries, and surveys, that directly solicit stakeholders for requirements provide subtly different insights into the user's needs and expectations from the system.

2) Visualization Tools: UML diagrams, wireframes, and interactive prototypes are used to create visualization against the ERP system's functionalities. Visual tools allow elicitation from stakeholders and help in communicating the system requirement and design concepts in a much easier and transparent way.

3) Iterative Process: Embrace agile methodologies, and incrementally accomplish and validate ERP requirements all through the development life cycle. This continuing feedback loops would enable adjustment in response to the changing priorities within the business environment and the insight from users in assuring that the implemented ERP solution is derived from the stakeholder's expectations and operational requirements.

4) Functional and Non-Functional Requirements: This chapter deals with the system design process for both functional requirements, related to certain features and capabilities, and non-functional ones, like performance, security, and usability. Only then will the ERP system be executed, with functions prescribed and serving critical performance benchmarks and user experience standards.

5) **Clear Documentation and Traceability:** A Requirements Traceability Matrix [6] should be maintained right from the identification stage to its implementation. This documents clear accountability and validation processes to ensure that all the needs expressed by stakeholders get addressed and are validated in the final ERP system delivered.

OUTCOMES AND IMPACT

Structured requirement gathering in ERP projects reduces associated risks and produces substantial quantitative gains:

A. Quantitative Outcomes

1) Lowered Implementation Costs Systematized requirement-gathering methodologies for the implementing organization have mostly cut down costs by 2030% [7]. This sort of financial efficiency is derived from the reduction of rework and extra resource allocation in the phase of implementation.

2) Operational Efficiencies

There is a considerable enhancement in operational efficiencies in ERP systems, ranging from 15 to 25 plus, after their implementation. These gains emanate from streamlined processes and workflow optimization because of deep understanding and incorporation of business requirements at the time of system design.

B. Qualitative Outcomes

Apart from the financial metric, structured requirement gathering itself drives qualitative improvements.

1) Improved Stakeholder Usability and Satisfaction

A good ERP implementation plan always produces greater user satisfaction results, mostly 30 percent more significant than the normal implementation of a poor project [8]. This may once again boil down to the basis of a system being developed around the requirements of the user to increase usability and reduce resistance to change.

2) Culture of Continuous Improvement

A successful requirement-gathering process imbeds a culture of constant change and fine-tuning. Such an iterative approach ensures that the ERP system will continue to evolve with business needs and technology developments if it is to be both sustainable and competitive in the long run.

C. Analysis of Outcomes

A deeper analysis conveys the transformative effect across the various ERP dimensions:

1) Deeply Enhanced System Architecture

Structuring requirements through a systematic gathering process helps in delivering modular and scalable ERP architecture. This is easily done through their integration with emergent technologies geared for agile responses in the face of market dynamism and organizational growth.



Figure 1: Functional Architecture of an ERP product [9]

2) Optimized Data Flows

The organization leverages tools such as Data Flow Diagrams [10] to conduct granular data flow analysis for the detection and mitigation of bottlenecks in data movement across the ERP ecosystem. Optimization facilitates real-time data accessibility and enhances decision-making capabilities across the enterprise.

3) Improved User Adoption

Ineffective requirement gathering creates the need for long training periods and onboarding times that dramatically impact how quickly a system can be adopted by the end-users. This can be improved by up to 40% or greater in extreme cases. Figure 2 depicts the user onboarding experience enabled through the use of intuitive ERP interfaces and overall enduser training approach.

4) Faster Development Cycles

Because priorities and ambiguities are more driven up front in the project lifecycle, structured requirement gathering has also cut down ERP implementation by 3 to 6 months over less structured approaches. Such acceleration enables an organization to realize operational efficiencies and business benefits earlier.

5) Better Resource Allocation

Clearly stated and prioritized needs allow organizations to more strategically allocate their IT resources on valuedriven initiatives rather than just being in a posture of reactive maintenance. This will optimize resource use and overall project results.

ISSUES AND CONCERNS

A. Stakeholder Alignment

One key challenge is aligning stakeholders into the ERP. Figure 3 shows the use of techniques like the Delphi method [11] and decision matrices to adequately bridge the gap between various stakeholder priorities to reach consensus over critical requirements.

Table I: Delphi Method Process for Stakeholder Alignment				
Step	Description			
Initial Survey	Gather initial requirements and opinions from all stakeholders through a structured questionnaire.			
Iterative	Distribute the collated responses back to the stakeholders, asking them to review the responses			
Feedback	and revise their earlier answers considering the group's feedback.			
Consensus	Continue the rounds of feedback until convergence is observed and a consensus on priorities and			
Building	requirements is reached.			
Final	Validate the consensus results with all stakeholders to ensure alignment and agreement.			
Validation				

B. Evolving Business Priorities

Agile, continuous requirement validation and robust change management processes are paramount for today's dynamic business and rapidly growing technologies in an ERP system.

C. Scope Creep Management

Scope creep needs to be managed with discipline and ordered conduct. A formal change control process as indicated in Figure 5 shall ensure that the project scope remains within the set strategic objectives while in a wide open space for innovation and flexibility in the new technology [12].

FUTURE TRENDS AND INNOVATIONS

A. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Requirement Analysis

The future of ERP requirement analysis lies in AI and machine learning technologies. These advanced analytics automate requirement prioritization and gap analysis by studying historical data to forecast future needs and hence optimize resource allocation.

B. Agile Methodologies in ERP Development

While agile methodologies reshaped ERP development, supporting iterative requirements gathering and rapid prototyping. This has increased the collaboration, responsiveness, and empowered the teams to respond quickly to the changing business requirements [13].

C. Integration of Internet of Things and Big Data Analytics

It sets a new dimension of ERP capabilities, the convergence of the IoT and big data analytics. Real-time data streaming in from IoT devices should now set apart requirement gathering itself; advanced analytics is required to redefine how ERP systems harness improved operational insights and strategic decision-making from data.

CASE STUDY

In 2018, a \$100 million per annum, mid-sized manufacturing company embarked on an ERP upgrade exercise using a structured process of requirement gathering. This involved stakeholder interviews, meticulous process mapping, and stringent quantitative data analysis. It delivered enormous benefits along different vectors. The cost of implementation came down by 22 percent, which was the saving diverted to other strategic initiatives. Operational efficiency increased by 18 percent, smoothening manufacturing processes and reducing lead times in supply chain management.

The structured approach reduced the user onboarding time from 8 to 5 days, increasing productivity and bringing down training costs. These were supplemented by qualitative improvements in user satisfaction, where a 30% increase was noted after implementation. 75% of managers also indicated a qualitative improvement in across-department collaboration, which would translate into improved intra-organizational cohesion.

Measurements in Table 1 after the ERP upgrade show cost decreases increased operational efficiency, a shorter onboarding time, greater user satisfaction, and increased collaboration between departments.

rioc		Roforo FDD Ungrado	After FDD Upg
	Table II: Comparison	n of Metrics Before and Afte	r ERP Upgrade

Metrics	Before ERP Upgrade	After ERP Upgrade
Implementation Costs (\$)	2,000,000	1,560,000
Operational	60	70
Efficiency (%)		
User Onboarding	8	5
Time (Days)		

User Satisfaction (Increase) (%)	6	+30
Cross-Department	10	75
Collaboration (%)		

Initially, the project encountered expected resistance and scope creep in an ERP implementation; this was overcome by focus workshops and putting a change control board in place to ensure the integrity of the project objectives and stakeholder expectations alignment. Important lessons learned include how important the engagement of end-users at the beginning was, sets of clear quantifiable metrics, and periodic validation of requirements to keep the project in scope and focus

Involving end-users from the very start addressed their needs and concerns, hence achieving a higher rate in the adoption of results. By instituting clear and quantifiable metrics, the measure of goals kept continuous monitoring, allowing for adjustment where necessary to ensure that the project remained on course. Regular validation of requirements maintained scope and focus, by ensuring there was no scope creep and all requirements were met as frequently reviewed and validated.

The ERP upgrade ended up bringing various major advantages to the Organisation. It streamlined processes, enhancing operational efficiency from 60% to 78%, which is a 30% increase, hence allowing more of the employees' potential time to be utilized on higher-valued activities. Cost-wise, it had its share of benefits from a reduction of \$2,000,000 to \$1,560,000, saving the cost by 22%. In addition, training costs were reduced because the new system required less onboarding time. The user satisfaction has increased a lot since it is reported 30% more after the implementation, which is due to the enhancement of the system and faster onboard procedures. In terms of cross-departmental collaboration, it has increased a lot, with 75% of managers reporting better cooperation. This created a more cohesive organizational environment.

These detailed requirements were also elicited as part of conducting interviews with the key stakeholders and the endusers themselves to provide and address user concerns. About 50 interviews were conducted for this project. Interviews shed an important amount of light on the needs and expectations of users in ensuring that the final system design remained very close to conformance with the business objectives and user preferences. The log analysis revealed a pattern of how the usage was happening and pointed out the areas that needed improvement. They looked over more than 100 logs during the implementation phase. The analysis helped in locating bottlenecks and enhancements should be done in the system. These took the form of 10 workshops and several protocol sessions that utilized the power of visual aids and verbal protocols as means to foster understanding and communication among project team members and stakeholders. The visual tools that were used included flowcharts and diagrams, among other prototypes, which clarified complex concepts and provided effective collaboration and consensus-building with participants.

This case example shows a successful metric-driven, structured approach to ERP requirement gathering. The company was able to realize major operational improvements and establish a basis for long-term growth and competitiveness through the alignment of the ERP system with organizational needs, enhancing operational efficiencies, and increasing user satisfaction. It is a strategic approach—characterized by detailed metrics, engagement of users from the very first opportunity, and adaptive methodologies—that an organization could usefully emulate to achieve the same benefits from its ERP system and overcome common challenges.

CONCLUSION

Requirement gathering forms the most important factor in an ERP system's successful implementation and scalability. In fast-moving, complex digital transformations, the ability to correctly capture, rank in order of priority, and then translate business needs into technical requirements will be one of the largest differentiating factors. Trends toward AI-assisted analysis, agile methodologies, and integration with emerging technologies signal a changing requirement-gathering function in ERP development. Equipped with such advancements and a focus on comprehensive requirement gathering, an organization can set the stage for full realization of their ERP investments: driving scalability, enhancing data flow, and eventually attaining sustained competitive advantage within the backdrop of an increasingly digital business environment.

REFERENCES

- [1]. "The 2019 ERP Report," www.panorama-consulting.com, 2019. Available: https://www.panorama-consulting.com/resource-center/erpsoftware-research-and-reports/panoramaconsulting-solutions-2019-erpreport/
- [2]. Kain et al., "A Method to Identify Relevant Stakeholders to be Integrated in New Product Development Processes," ICORD 09: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Research into Design, Bangalore, India, Jan. 7-9, 2009, pp. 191–198. Available: https://www.designsociety.org/ publication/32280/A+Method+to+Identify+Relevant+Stakeholders+to+ be+Integrated+in+New+Product+Development+Processes

- [3]. "Introduction to BPMN Part I," www.visual-paradigm.com. Available: https://www.visual-paradigm.com/tutorials/bpmn1.jsp
- [4]. M. S. Jahan, F. Azam, M. W. Anwar, A. Amjad and K. Ayub, "A Novel Approach for Software Requirement Prioritization," 2019 7th International Conference in Software Engineering Research and Innovation (CONISOFT), Mexico City, Mexico, 2019, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/CONISOFT.2019.00012.
- [5]. R. C. Beatty and C. D. Williams, "ERP II," Communications of the ACM, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 105–109, Mar. 2006, doi: https://doi.org/10.1145/1118178.1118184.
- [6]. G. Duraisamy and R. Atan, "REQUIREMENT TRACEABILITY MATRIX THROUGH DOCUMENTATION FOR SCRUM METHODOLOGY," Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, vol. 20, no. 2, 2013. Available: https://www.jatit.org/volumes/Vol52No2/5Vol52No2.pdf
- [7]. B. Johansson, F. Sudzina, and M. Newman, "ERP system implementation costs and selection factors of an implementation approach," International Journal of Business Information Systems, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 87, 2011, doi: https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbis.2011.041088.
- [8]. object Object, "Improving usability outcomes in IS projects: the views of usability practitioners," core.ac.uk. Available: https://core.ac.uk/reader/15624940
- [9]. Brinkkemper, Sjaak & Pachidi, Stella. (2010). Functional Architecture Modeling for the Software Product Industry. 6285. 198-213. 10.1007/978-3-642-15114-9 16.
- [10]. J. Bangerter, "Data Flow Diagram Symbols, Types, and Tips Lucidchart," Lucidchart.com, 2017. Available: https://www.lucidchart.com/blog/data-flow-diagram-tutorial
- [11]. M. B. Asl, A. Khalilzadeh, H. R. Youshanlouei, and M. M. Mood, "Identifying and ranking the effective factors on selecting Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system using the combined Delphi and Shannon Entropy approach," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, vol. 41, pp. 513–520, Jan. 2012, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.04.063.
- [12]. C. C. Chen, C. C. H. Law and S. C. Yang, "Managing ERP Implementation Failure: A Project Management Perspective," in IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 157-170, Feb. 2009, doi: 10.1109/TEM.2008.2009802.
- [13]. S. F. Wijaya, H. Prabowo, R. R. Kosala and Meyliana, "Agile Methods for ERP Implementation: A Systematic Literature Review," 2018 International Conference on Information Management and Technology (ICIMTech), Jakarta, Indonesia, 2018, pp. 571-576, doi: 10.1109/ICIMTech.2018.8528164.