Available online www.ejaet.com

European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, 2019, 6(4):51-56

Research Article ISSN: 2394 - 658X

Enhancing Website Performance and User Experience: The Role of Lighthouse in Identifying and Mitigating UI Issues

Yash Jani

Sr. Software Engineer Fremont, California, US
yjani204@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In the digital era, the quality of a website's user experience (UX) is pivotal in determining its success. This paper delves into the multifaceted impact of website development on UX, particularly emphasizing the critical role played by Google's Lighthouse [1] tool in identifying and mitigating UI issues. Despite advancements in web technologies, many websites suffer from suboptimal performance and poor usability, leading to significant adverse effects on user engagement, satisfaction, and conversion rates. This study examines the comprehensive benefits of employing Lighthouse [1], an open-source, automated auditing tool, to enhance website performance, Accessibility [7], adherence to best practices, and search engine optimization (SEO) [3]. Through an in-depth analysis of common development pitfalls and their detrimental effects on UX, we highlight how Lighthouse [1] provides actionable insights and recommendations that can transform user interactions with web interfaces.

Additionally, the paper explores the socio-economic implications of bad UI, demonstrating how it can lead to increased bounce rates, reduced customer retention, and potential revenue losses. By integrating case studies and empirical data, we underscore the efficacy of Lighthouse [1] in driving substantial improvements in web development practices, ultimately fostering a more inclusive, efficient, and engaging online ecosystem [4]. This investigation aims to provide web developers, designers, and stakeholders with a robust framework for leveraging Lighthouse [1] to achieve superior UX outcomes, thereby aligning technological capabilities with user-centric design principles.

Key words: User Experience (UX), User Interface (UI), Website Development, Lighthouse Tool, Web Performance, Accessibility [7], Best Practices, Search Engine Optimization (SEO), Web Usability, Digital Engagement, Web Auditing Tools, Conversion Rates, Bounce Rates, Online Ecosystem.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary digital landscape, the quality of a website's user experience (UX) has become critical to its success and sustainability. As businesses and services increasingly migrate to online platforms, the demand for seamless, intuitive, and efficient user interfaces (UI) has never been greater. However, the rapid evolution of web technologies presents a double-edged sword. While it enables the creation of sophisticated and dynamic websites, it also introduces complexities that can compromise performance and usability if not meticulously managed [5].

The implications of poor UX extend beyond mere user dissatisfaction. Suboptimal website performance can lead to increased bounce rates, diminished user engagement, and significant revenue losses. Studies have consistently shown that users are quick to abandon websites that are slow to load or difficult to navigate, underscoring the need for robust development practices that prioritize UX. Enter Lighthouse [1], an open-source, automated tool developed by Google designed to assist developers in enhancing the quality of web pages. Lighthouse [1] conducts comprehensive audits across several dimensions, including performance, Accessibility [7], best practices, and search engine optimization (SEO). By providing actionable insights and recommendations, Lighthouse [1] is an indispensable resource for developers striving to optimize their websites.

This paper aims to elucidate the multifaceted impact of website development on UX, focusing on the role of Lighthouse [1] in identifying and ameliorating UI issues. We will explore how common development pitfalls can detrimentally affect user engagement and business outcomes and how Lighthouse [1] can help mitigate these issues. Through detailed case studies and empirical data, we will demonstrate the efficacy of Lighthouse [1] in driving significant improvements in web performance and user satisfaction.

In addition to the technical benefits, this study will delve into the broader socio-economic consequences of bad UI. By highlighting real-world examples of how poor UX can lead to lost opportunities and reduced customer retention, we aim to underscore the vital importance of integrating tools like Lighthouse [1] into the web development workflow. [8]

Ultimately, this investigation seeks to provide web developers, designers, and stakeholders with a comprehensive understanding of the critical role that UX plays in the digital economy [5]. By leveraging the capabilities of Lighthouse [1], we can align technological advancements with user-centric design principles, thereby fostering a more inclusive, efficient, and engaging online ecosystem.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The impact of website development on user experience (UX) has been the subject of extensive scholarly inquiry, reflecting the critical role that digital interfaces play in contemporary society. This literature review synthesizes the findings of key studies, focusing on the dual themes of website performance and user interface (UI) design and the tools and methodologies, particularly Google's Lighthouse [1], employed to optimize these aspects.

Theoretical Foundations of User Experience

User experience, as conceptualized by Norman and Nielsen (1995), encompasses all aspects of the end-user's interaction with a company, its services, and its products. Subsequent research has expanded this definition, emphasizing the holistic nature of UX, which integrates usability, Accessibility [7], and emotional responses to digital interfaces (Hassenzahl, 2008; Garrett, 2011). Theories such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) further elucidate the determinants of user acceptance and engagement, underscoring the significance of perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh et al., 2003).

Website Performance and User Behavior

The relationship between website performance and user behavior is well-documented. Studies by Google (2017) and Akamai (2018) reveal that page load time significantly affects user engagement and conversion rates. A delay of one second can result in a 7% reduction in conversions, a 13% drop in customer satisfaction, and an 11% decline in page views (Akamai, 2018). These findings are corroborated by research from Gomez et al. (2010), who demonstrate that 40% of users abandon a website that takes more than three seconds to load.

The Role of User Interface Design

The design of user interfaces also profoundly influences user experience. Norman (2002) argues that intuitive design reduces cognitive load, thereby enhancing usability and satisfaction. Nielsen's (2000) heuristics for UI design, including visibility of system status, user control, and consistency, remain foundational in evaluating and improving digital interfaces.

Recent studies by Tuch etal. (2012) and Hartmann et al. (2008) highlight the importance of aesthetics and emotional appeal, suggesting that visually pleasing designs can positively affect users' perceptions and interactions.

Tools for Measuring and Improving Website Performance

Automated tools like Lighthouse have revolutionized the evaluation of website performance and usability. Lighthouse, developed by Google, offers a comprehensive audit suite encompassing performance, accessibility, best practices, and SEO. It generates detailed reports with actionable recommendations, allowing developers to identify and address issues that degrade user experience.

Accessibility and Inclusive Design

Accessibility [7] is a critical component of UX, ensuring that websites are usable by individuals with diverse abilities. The Web Content Accessibility [7] Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 [11], established by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), provide a framework for creating accessible web content. Research by Lazar et al. and Babu et al. underscores the importance of Accessibility [7] in preventing digital exclusion. Lighthouse [1] incorporates WCAG guidelines into its audits, facilitating the development of inclusive web interfaces.

Socio-Economic Implications of Poor UX

The socio-economic repercussions of poor UX are profound. A study by Forrester (2009) estimates that a well-designed user interface could increase a website's conversion rate by up to 200% [10], while a poor design could cost businesses millions in lost revenue. Nielsen (2012) notes that investment in UX yields a return of up to 9,900%, highlighting the economic imperative of good design [11]. Furthermore, the digital divide, exacerbated by inaccessible websites, has significant societal implications, as outlined by Warschauer (2004).

METHODOLOGY

This section provides a detailed approach on how to use Lighthouse [1] for evaluating and improving website performance and UX. It outlines the step-by-step process, from setting up the tool to interpreting the results, and presents a complex scenario to illustrate the practical application of Lighthouse [1] in a real-world context. [9]

Setting Up Lighthouse [1]

1. Installation: Lighthouse [1] can be accessed in several ways, including:

a) **Chrome DevTools:** Open Chrome DevTools (F12), navigate to the "Lighthouse [1]" tab, and run an audit.
b) **CLI (Command Line Interface):** Install Lighthouse [1] globally using npm (npm install -gLighthouse [1]) and run it via command line.
c) **Web Application:** Use the PageSpeed Insights online tool incorporating Lighthouse [1].

2. Configuration: Customize the Lighthouse [1] audit to focus on specific areas:

a) **Performance:** Measures load speed, responsiveness, and overall performance.
b) **Accessibility [7]:** Checks for adherence to Accessibility [7] best practices.
c) **Best Practices:** Audits for modern web development best practices.
d) **SEO:** Evaluates search engine optimization parameters.
e) **Progressive Web App (PWA):** Assesses PWA compliance.

Running a Lighthouse [1] Audit

1. Initiate Audit: Open the target website in Chrome and start a Lighthouse [1] audit. Select the desired categories (Performance, Accessibility [7], Best Practices, SEO, PWA) and run the audit.

2. Data Collection: Lighthouse [1] performs a series of automated tests and collects data on various metrics:

a) **Performance Metrics:** First Contentful Paint (FCP), Speed Index, Largest Contentful Paint (LCP), Time to Interactive (TTI), Total Blocking Time (TBT), Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS).
b) **Accessibility [7] Metrics:** Ensures content is perceivable, operable, understandable, and robust.
c) **Best Practices:** Security checks, browser compatibility, use of modern technologies.
d) **SEO Metrics:** Ensures search engines can crawl and index the content effectively.
e) **PWA Metrics:** Checks for offline functionality, installability, and user experience.

3. Report Generation: Lighthouse [1] generates a detailed report with scores for each category, identifying issues and providing actionable recommendations.

Interpreting Lighthouse [1] Reports

1. Performance:

a) **FCP, LCP, TTI:** Identify slow loading elements and optimize them.
b) **TBT, CLS:** Reduce script execution time and improve layout stability.

2. Accessibility [7]

a) **Contrast Ratio:** Improve text readability by adjusting color contrast.
b) **ARIA Labels:** Ensure interactive elements have appropriate labels for screen readers.

3. Best Practices:

a) **HTTPS:** Ensure the website is served over HTTPS.
b) **Vulnerable Libraries:** Update or remove libraries with known vulnerabilities.

4. SEO

a) **Meta Tags:** Ensure the presence of essential meta tags like title, description, and viewport.
b) **Link Text:** Use descriptive link text for better SEO.

5. PWA:

a) **Service Worker:** Implement a service worker to enable offline functionality.
b) **Manifest File**: Ensure the presence of a valid web app manifest.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Case Study: Analyzing Amazon.com with Lighthouse [1]

Amazon.com is one of the world's largest and most visited e-commerce websites, offering a vast array of products and services. Maintaining optimal performance and user experience is a significant challenge given its scale and complexity. This case study utilizes Google's Lighthouse [1] tool to audit and analyze the website's performance, Accessibility [7], best practices, SEO, and PWA compliance.

1. Lighthouse [1] Audit Results:

Using Lighthouse [1], we conducted a comprehensive audit of Amazon.com on March 10, 2019. The following scores were obtained:

a) **Performance:** 65
b) **Accessibility** [7]: 84
c) **Best Practices:** 70
d) **SEO:** 77

2. Detailed Findings

Performance Diagnostics:

a) **First Contentful Paint (FCP):** 0.8 seconds
b) **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP):** 0.9 seconds
c) **Total Blocking Time (TBT):**980 milliseconds
d) **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS):** 0
e) **Speed Index:**2.3 seconds

Performance Diagnostics:

a) **Minimize Main-Thread Work:** Main-thread work took 8.5 seconds.
b) **Third-Party Code Impact:** Third-party code blocked the main thread for 1,060 milliseconds.
c) **JavaScript Execution:** JavaScript execution time was 4.6 seconds.
d) **Excessive DOM Size:** The DOM contained 2,619 elements.
e) **Render-Blocking Resources:** Potential savings of 110 milliseconds.
f) **Image Optimization:** Potential savings of 544 KiB by serving images in next-gen formats.
g) **Offscreen Images:** Potential savings of 1,692 KiB by deferring offscreen images.

Accessibility [7]

a) **Contrast Ratio:** Some text elements had low contrast ratios, affecting readability.
b) **ARIA Labels:** Several interactive elements lacked descriptive ARIA labels necessary for screen readers.
c) **Image Alt Attributes:** Image elements did not have [alt] attributes or had redundant text in [alt] attributes.
d) **Touch Targets:** Touch targets did not have sufficient size or spacing.
e) **Sequential Heading Order:** Heading elements were not in a sequentially descending order.

Best Practices

a) **Mixed Content:** Some resources were served over HTTP instead of HTTPS.
b) **Vulnerable Libraries:** The site used an outdated jQuery library version with known security vulnerabilities.
c) **Third-Party Cookies:** Four third-party cookies were found.
d) **Meta Viewport Tag:** The site did not have a <meta name="viewport">tag with width or initial scale.

SEO

a) **Meta Tags:** Some pages lacked meta descriptions and keywords.
b) **Descriptive Links:** Links with non-descriptive text such as "click here" were prevalent.
c) **Image Alt Attributes:** Some image elements did not have [alt] attributes.
d) **Crawlable Links:** Some links were not crawlable by search engines.

PWA

a) **Service Worker:** The site lacked a service worker to enable offline functionality.
b) **Web App Manifest:** The manifest file was incomplete, missing critical elements such as icons and theme color.

CONCLUSION

This case study demonstrates the utility of Lighthouse [1] in analyzing and understanding the performance and usability of a complex website like Amazon.com. The audit identified several areas for improvement across different categories, highlighting specific issues and potential optimizations.

By leveraging Lighthouse [1], developers and stakeholders can systematically identify and address key issues, ensuring their websites deliver optimal performance and an exceptional user experience. The comprehensive report generated by Lighthouse [1] provides actionable insights that can guide future optimizations and enhancements for large-scale e-commerce platforms.

For further details, please refer to the full Lighthouse [1] report attached.

Key Findings:

a) **Performance:** Amazon.com had a performance score of 65. Issues such as large hero images affecting the Largest Contentful Paint (LCP) and heavy JavaScript execution contributing to high Total Blocking Time (TBT) were identified.
b) **Accessibility [7]:** The Accessibility [7] score was 84, indicating good performance, though issues such as low contrast ratios and missing ARIA labels needed attention.
c) **Best Practices:** With a score of 70, Amazon.com showed some issues, including serving resources over HTTP instead of HTTPS and using outdated jQuery libraries.
d) **SEO:** The SEO score was 77, with missing meta descriptions, non-descriptive link text, and uncrawlable links.

Case Study: Analyzing Walmart.com with Lighthouse [1]

Walmart.com is one of the world's largest and most visited e-commerce websites, offering a vast array of products and services. Maintaining optimal performance and user experience is a significant challenge, given its scale and complexity. This case study utilizes Google's Lighthouse [1] tool to audit and analyze the website's performance, Accessibility [7], best practices, SEO, and PWA compliance.

1. Lighthouse [1] Audit Results:

Using Lighthouse [1], we conducted a comprehensive audit of Walmart.com on March 2019. The following scores were obtained:

a) **Performance:** 54
b) **Accessibility [7]:** 91
c) **Best Practices:** 52
d) **SEO:** 77

2. Detailed Findings:

Performance:

a) **First Contentful Paint (FCP):** 1.3 seconds
b) **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP):** 1.3 seconds
c) **Total Blocking Time (TBT):** 3,070 milliseconds
d) **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS):** 0.003
e) **Speed Index**:5.5 seconds

Performance Diagnostics:

a) **First Contentful Paint (FCP):**0.8 Seconds
b) **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP):**0.9 seconds
c) **Total Blocking Time (TBT):**980 milliseconds
d) **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS):** 0
e) **Speed Index:**2.3 seconds

Performance Diagnostics:

a) **Minimize Main-Thread Work:** Main-thread work took10.5 seconds.
b) **JavaScript Execution:** JavaScript execution time was 6.2 seconds.
c) **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP) Element:** LCP element was lazily loaded, taking 1,320 milliseconds.
d) **Third-Party Code Impact:** Third-party code blocked the main thread for 1,800 milliseconds.
e) **HTTP/2 Usage:** 18 requests were not served via HTTP/2, impacting performance.
f) **Image Optimization:** Properly sizing images could save 8 KiB.
g) **Unused JavaScript:** Reducing unused JavaScript could save 1,382 KiB.
h) **Network Payloads:** The total size was 2,074 KiB, which could be optimized.

Accessibility [7]

a) **Contrast Ratio:** Issues with low contrast ratios affecting readability.
b) **Image Alt Attributes:** Some image elements lacked [alt] attributes.
c) **Touch Targets:** Insufficient size or spacing of touch targets.
d) **ARIA IDs:** ARIA IDs were unique, which is a positive aspect.

REFERENCES

[1]. "Lighthouse". https://developer.chrome.com/docs/Lighthou se [1]/overview/
[2]. A. Nederlof, A. Mesbah and A. V. Deursen, "Software engineering for the web: the state of the practice".
[3]. T. Heričko, B. Šumak and S. Brdnik, "Towards Representative Web Performance Measurements with Google Lighthouse".
[4]. A. Mitchell, "Small Business Website Development: Enhancing the Student Experience Through Community-Based Service Learning".
[5]. "The Trillion Dollar UX Problem:", https://s3.amazonaws.com/coach-courses-us/ public/theuxschool/uploads/The_Trillion_D ollar_UX_Problem.pdf
[6]. "ShieldSquare Captcha". https://s3.amazonaws.com/coach-courses-us/ public/theuxschool/uploads/The_Trillion_D ollar_UX_Problem.pdf
[7]. J. Lazar, A. S. Allen, J. Kleinman and C. Malarkey, "What Frustrates Screen Reader Users on the Web: A Study of 100 Blind Users".
[8]. J. P. Bigham, I. Lin and S. Savage, "The Effects of "Not Knowing What You Don't Know" on Web Accessibility for Blind Web Users".
[9]. "Lighthouse: Optimize website speed". https://developer.chrome.com/docs/devtools/ lighthouse/
[10]. Leaving User Experience To Chance Hurts Companies https://www.forrester.com/blogs/09-10-15-le aving_user_experience_to_chance_hurts_co mpanies/
[11]. W3 WCAG 2 https://www.w3.org/

[12]. How UX Is Transforming Business (Whether You Want It To Or Not) https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechco uncil/2017/01/23/how-ux-is-transformingbusiness-whether-you-want-it-to-or-not/