Available online www.ejaet.com

European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, 2024, 11(7):29-35

Review Article ISSN: 2394 - 658X

A Review of Machine Learning and Deep Learning in Autism Spectrum Disorder Diagnosis and Treatment

Vedant Sanjay Tadla[1], Pranav Manoj Singh[1], Rashmi Adatkar[1]

[1]Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering,
K.J. Somaiya Institute of Technology Mumbai, India

ABSTRACT

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) represents a diverse array of neurodevelopmental conditions marked by challenges in social interaction, communication, and repetitive behaviors. This review explores the application of machine learning (ML) and deep learning methodologies in the study and management of autism. ASD affects approximately 1 in 100 children worldwide, although prevalence rates vary significantly across different regions and studies. The review outlines how ML and deep learning enhance early detection, diagnosis, and personalized treatment of autism by leveraging vast datasets to identify patterns and markers, and by predicting individual responses to therapies. Despite advancements, challenges such as data quality, model generalizability, and clinical integration remain. The review emphasizes the transformative potential of these technologies in autism research and care and highlights the need for ongoing research to address limitations and optimize their use. This paper includes an introduction to autism, a literature survey, a detailed methodology section, and various methods used to evaluate results, providing a comprehensive view of current advancements and applications.

Keywords: Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), Machine Learning, SVM, KNN, Decision Tree.

INTRODUCTION

Autism, officially recognized as Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), encompasses a range of developmental conditions affecting brain development. This spectrum disorder primarily influences social interaction, communication abilities, and behavioral patterns. Autism manifests in diverse ways, from mild to severe, reflecting varying levels of impact on daily functioning. Accurate understanding of autism is essential for effective diagnosis, intervention, and support strategies, ultimately improving the quality of life for individuals affected by the disorder.

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is characterized by significant challenges in social communication and interaction, alongside restricted and repetitive behaviors or interests. Individuals with autism may show atypical behavior patterns, such as difficulties transitioning between activities, an intense focus on specific details, and unusual sensory responses. The spectrum's wide range includes individuals who can lead independent lives and those who require extensive, lifelong support. This variability in abilities and needs underscores the importance of tailored approaches to care and intervention.

The development of autism is influenced by a complex interplay of genetic and environmental factors. While the precise causes of autism remain under investigation, research indicates that certain genetic mutations and environmental exposures may increase the likelihood of developing the disorder. Extensive studies have refuted claims linking autism to vaccines, including the MMR vaccine and its components, confirming that these do not contribute to autism risk.

According to the World Health Organisation [1] Autism affects approximately 1 in 100 children worldwide, representing an average prevalence rate. This rate varies across different studies and geographic regions, with some research indicating higher prevalence figures. In many low- and middle-income countries, data on autism prevalence is limited. Diagnosing autism often occurs later than the appearance of initial symptoms, which complicates early intervention efforts. Understanding these statistics is crucial for framing effective diagnostic, therapeutic, and support strategies, ensuring better outcomes for those on the autism spectrum.

LITERATURE SURVEY

The authors [2] have utilized various machine learning (ML) algorithms for detecting Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). They highlight that Support Vector Machines (SVM) have shown significant promise, achieving 80-90% accuracy using behavioral and neuroimaging data. Random Forests have also been effective, reaching 75-85% accuracy based on behavioral traits and demographic information. Additionally, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and Decision Trees have been applied, achieving 70-80% accuracy by analyzing cognitive profiles and social communication skills. These ML techniques offer objective, data-driven approaches to improve ASD diagnosis.

The authors [3] present a study leveraging the ResNet18 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for assessing the likelihood of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Utilizing a diverse dataset encompassing behavioral traits, demographic information, and clinical markers, the study demonstrates the effectiveness of the ResNet18 CNN in identifying subtle patterns associated with ASD risk. Emphasis is placed on meticulous data preparation and thorough evaluation of algorithmic performance. Results indicate that ResNet18 CNN holds promise for early ASD identification, aiding timely interventions and advancing diagnostic precision in clinical settings. The study advocates for continued research to refine these machine learning approaches, ultimately aiming to enhance outcomes for individuals at risk of ASD.

Robot-assisted therapy, such as Robot-Enhanced Therapy (RET), offers interactive experiences for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), was studied by the authors [4], leveraging technologies like skeleton-based and gaze-tracking data to enhance therapeutic outcomes. Machine learning techniques, particularly Support Vector Machines (SVM), are used to analyze these data and predict therapy results. Despite promising advancements, challenges such as data heterogeneity, communication difficulties, and sensor discomfort persist. Research continues to focus on improving data collection methods and optimizing machine learning models to provide more accurate and personalized interventions for ASD.

Recent advancements in autism are studied by authors in this paper [5] which utilize rehabilitation Spherical Video-based Virtual Reality (SVVR), to enhance diagnostic and therapeutic processes. SVVR technology aids in more accurate facial expression recognition and provides immersive, interactive learning environments, improving early childhood autism treatment. Studies show SVVR's effectiveness in distinguishing between autistic and non-autistic children's emotional responses and standardizing rehabilitation course materials. This approach leverages advanced algorithms to create realistic virtual environments, significantly enhancing the quality and effectiveness of autism interventions.

The authors [6] presented a study employing various machine learning models for early Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) detection, adhering to DSM-5 criteria. The research utilized Logistic Regression, XGBoost, SVC, and Naive Bayes on open-source ASD datasets. Among these, XGBoost achieved the highest performance with a perfect accuracy of 100%, outperforming other models. The study demonstrates the efficacy of these machine learning techniques in enhancing the precision of ASD screening and facilitating timely interventions. The authors advocate for further refinement and validation of these models to ensure their effectiveness in clinical practice.

A review study on the integration of robots in Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) research was seen by the authors in [7]. The study analyzed 27 review papers from 2016 to February 2023, focusing on robots used for ASD diagnosis, emotion recognition, and expression. The results indicated that robots have shown beneficial effects in enhancing ASD diagnosis and supporting emotion recognition and expression. Despite these benefits, limitations such as cost, technical challenges, and the need for adult supervision were noted. The authors recommend future research to explore the combination of Internet of Things (IoT) and robotics for improved ASD diagnosis and intervention.

The study [8] investigates the use of Gradient Boosting Classification (GBC) for diagnosing Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), aiming to enhance diagnostic precision and efficiency compared to traditional methods. It employs a dataset with demographic and clinical details to train the GBC model, which achieved 93% accuracy and strong performance in sensitivity and specificity. The research suggests that GBC could significantly improve ASD diagnosis, advocating for further validation across diverse populations and integration into clinical practice. Future work should also consider personalized medicine to refine predictions based on individual characteristics.

The authors [9] focused on improving autism prediction accuracy through a Multi-Kernel SVM approach. It integrates Linear, Polynomial, and Radial Basis Function kernels to enhance classification performance on a dataset with 14 features. Validation via 5-fold cross-validation yielded a 97.6% accuracy rate, surpassing individual kernel SVMs. The results indicate that the Multi-Kernel SVM is particularly effective in classifying autism spectrum disorder cases. The study suggests further exploration of additional kernel functions and advanced methods for even better performance.

The study [10] introduces the Network Normality Score (NNS) to assess structural connectivity differences in Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Using diffusion MRI data from 150 healthy controls and 163 individuals with ASD, researchers calculated NNS to identify alterations in brain network topology at both global and system levels. Significant differences were observed in the default mode and frontoparietal networks, with ASD showing lower NNS compared to controls. Statistical analysis revealed these differences correlated with autism severity, as measured by the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS). The results underscore NNS's potential for capturing structural connectivity variations related to ASD. Further investigation is warranted to refine these findings.

Using Decision Tree Classifier effectively the authors in paper [11] predicted Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) risk, achieving an impressive accuracy of 96%. The model's performance was validated through a comprehensive classification report, which highlighted its strong precision and recall. The Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUC) underscored the classifier's excellent discriminatory power, while the confusion matrix revealed its proficiency in differentiating between individuals with and without ASD. These results indicate that the Decision Tree Classifier is a promising tool for improving early detection and intervention for autism.

The Naive Bayes classifier was applied by the authors [12] to a dataset encompassing various risk factors for autism, with the model's performance evaluated through metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. Methods included comprehensive preprocessing of the dataset to manage missing values and outliers, feature selection to identify significant predictors, and cross-validation to ensure robust model performance. The model's effectiveness was further assessed using AUC (Area Under the Curve) analysis and confusion matrix evaluation. The classifier achieved a 96% accuracy rate, demonstrating its high precision in distinguishing between at-risk and non-at-risk individuals, and underscoring its potential for early autism risk assessment and clinical use.

Autism was studied by authors [13] using a deep learning-based screening system using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), aimed to enhance ASD diagnosis by learning from over 6,000 historical cases. Despite achieving high accuracy, sensitivity (69.82%) and accuracy (59%) of Autism AI are similar to conventional methods AQ-10 and Q-CHAT-10, which have sensitivity between 71-75%. The system's performance aligns closely with traditional methods, but it still faces challenges in matching formal diagnostic sensitivities. Future improvements could involve re-training with more diagnostic data and incorporating multimodal approaches for better trait detection.

The paper [14] presents a facial image-based model using ResNet50 to identify Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). The study highlights the use of ResNet50 and EfficientNet for analyzing facial features to differentiate between children with ASD and typically developing children. The ResNet50 model, with an accuracy of 84%, was compared with EfficientNet, which showed higher accuracy and parameter efficiency. The results indicate potential for improved early detection of ASD through facial image analysis, with suggestions for future research including video-based prediction and assessment of autism stages.

The authors [15] have assessed the effectiveness of a virtual reality (VR) game in improving educational outcomes for children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Utilizing VR for immersive learning, the study demonstrates significant enhancements in communication and mathematical skills through interactive game-based interventions. Results indicate notable advancements in skills among participants, with predictive analytics optimizing learning experiences. Despite limitations such as sample size and technology constraints, the study highlights VR's potential as an inclusive educational tool. Future research should focus on expanding sample sizes and refining the intervention to address identified limitations.

The authors [16] evaluated the effectiveness of Adaboost, Gradient Boosting, and LightGBM algorithms in classifying autistic and normal faces using 100 samples of each. The dataset was split 70% for training and 30% for testing. Gradient Boosting achieved the highest accuracy at 91.67%, followed by LightGBM at 88.33%, and Adaboost at 81.67%. The combination of SURF feature extraction with boosting algorithms showed that Gradient Boosting provided the best classification performance, highlighting its effectiveness for this task.

Using the Machine Learning model, the authors [17] predicted Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) using diverse demographic, behavioral, and clinical features. Evaluated with the AUC-ROC Score, the model achieved a mean score of 0.91, indicating strong performance in identifying ASD risk. Results show that family history of autism correlates with a higher likelihood of diagnosis, while jaundice at birth does not significantly impact outcomes. The study highlights predictive modeling's potential for improving early ASD diagnosis and intervention strategies, with future work aimed at addressing dataset discrepancies and enhancing model accuracy.

This systematic review by the authors [18] explores EEG's role in Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) detection, highlighting significant findings and challenges. Key results include advancements in machine learning models achieving high accuracy in ASD detection, improved understanding of brain connectivity patterns, and the identification of biomarkers such as gamma power variations and functional connectivity differences. Despite promising results, limitations persist, including small sample sizes and variability in findings across studies. The review emphasizes the need for larger, more diverse datasets and further research to enhance EEG's diagnostic utility for ASD.

Evaluation of the use of augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) in autism rehabilitation, focusing on their advantages and limitations to refine treatment approaches is done in this paper [19]. Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is characterized by challenges in communication and social interaction, with symptoms falling into specific categories. Existing research highlights the effectiveness of machine learning (ML) and AR/VR technologies for diagnosing and treating autism, showing notable improvements in accuracy and outcomes. Current projects, such as Autism Sisters and Baby Siblings Research Consortium, emphasize genetic research and early intervention strategies. The proposed LearnAUT system aims to enhance learning and social skills for autistic children by integrating AR and VR through interactive web and mobile applications.

The research [20] explores the benefits of Robot-Assisted Cognitive Training (RACT) for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), focusing on its potential to improve cognitive abilities by combining cognitive tasks with robotic technology. The study uses a robust methodology, including pre- and post-intervention standardized cognitive tests to measure executive functions, attention, memory, and problem-solving skills, along with qualitative observations of participant engagement. Results show significant improvements in cognitive domains: executive functions (+13.5), attention (+15.2), memory (+11.5), and problem-solving (+14.3). Qualitative data reveals increased engagement and effective use of cognitive strategies, supporting RACT's promise as an effective intervention for ASD, though further research is needed to refine its application and broaden its impact.

METHODOLOGY

A. Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and Robotics in Autism Detection and Intervention

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) presents significant challenges in diagnosis and management due to its diverse manifestations and the variability in individual needs. Recent advancements in machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and robotics have transformed the landscape of autism detection and intervention, providing more sophisticated tools for early diagnosis, personalized treatment, and supportive care.

B. Machine Learning and Deep Learning Methodologies

1. Data Collection and Preprocessing

Data is pivotal in machine learning and deep learning applications. In autism detection, various types of data, including behavioral assessments, neuroimaging scans, and genetic information, are collected. Preprocessing steps such as feature extraction and data normalization are critical for preparing datasets for analysis. Feature extraction identifies relevant attributes from raw data, while normalization standardizes data ranges to enhance model accuracy.

2. Machine Learning Models

Classification Algorithms: Machine learning employs various classification algorithms to distinguish between individuals with autism and those without. Support Vector Machines (SVM) are utilized for their ability to handle high-dimensional data and detect complex patterns. Random Forests, an ensemble learning method, aggregate multiple decision trees to improve classification performance and reduce overfitting.

Regression Models: Regression techniques predict continuous outcomes, such as the severity of autism symptoms or treatment effectiveness. These models help in understanding the relationship between different variables and in forecasting individual developmental trajectories.

3. Deep Learning Approaches

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): CNNs are employed for analyzing neuroimaging data, such as MRI scans, to identify structural and functional abnormalities associated with autism. CNNs excel in recognizing intricate patterns in imaging data, facilitating early and accurate diagnosis. Additionally, CNNs process video data from behavioral assessments, detecting patterns in social interactions and communication.

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs): RNNs, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, are effective in modeling temporal data. They are used to analyze sequential patterns in behavioral data, capturing changes over time that are indicative of autism.

Autoencoders: Autoencoders are utilized for unsupervised learning tasks, including dimensionality reduction and anomaly detection. They help in identifying unusual patterns in autism-related data, which can be critical for early diagnosis and personalized intervention strategies.

4. Predictive Modeling

Machine learning models are increasingly used for risk prediction and outcome forecasting in autism. Predictive models assess the likelihood of autism based on early signs, genetic markers, and other risk factors. They also forecast developmental outcomes and responses to various interventions, guiding tailored treatment approaches.

5. Integration of Multimodal Data

Combining data from multiple sources, such as behavioral assessments, neuroimaging, and genetic information, enhances diagnostic accuracy and provides a holistic view of autism. Fusion techniques integrate these diverse data types, offering comprehensive insights into individual conditions and needs.

6. Robotic Therapy and Interaction

Social Robots: Robots like NAO and PARO are designed to engage children with autism in social and communication activities. These robots provide consistent interaction opportunities and help children practice social skills within a controlled and supportive environment.

Therapeutic Robots: Specialized robots deliver therapeutic activities aimed at developing cognitive and motor skills. They are programmed to adapt to individual needs, providing personalized support and reinforcing positive behaviors.

7. Assistive Robots

Communication Aids: Robots equipped with natural language processing capabilities assist children with autism in improving communication. They facilitate interactions, provide prompts, and help children practice language skills.

Routine and Behavioral Support: Assistive robots support daily routines and behavior management by offering reminders and structured activities. They help children maintain schedules and manage transitions, promoting stability and consistency.

8. Data Collection and Analysis

Robots with integrated sensors monitor and record behavioral data, offering valuable insights into social interactions, preferences, and difficulties. This data supports the development of personalized interventions and tracks progress over time.

9. Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) Integration

Robots integrated with AR and VR technologies create immersive environments for children with autism. These systems enable situational practice, sensory integration, and social skills training in a controlled and adaptable setting.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Evaluating the results of autism detection and intervention systems that utilize machine learning, deep learning, and robotics involves a multi-faceted approach to assess their effectiveness, accuracy, and overall impact. The following are key parameters for evaluating these systems:

1. Diagnostic Accuracy and Precision

Accuracy Metrics: Diagnostic accuracy is a primary metric for evaluating machine learning and deep learning models. This is determined by comparing the model's predictions with actual clinical diagnoses. High accuracy indicates that the model correctly identifies individuals with autism and distinguishes them from those without the condition.

Precision, Recall, and F1 Score: Precision measures the proportion of true positive diagnoses among all predicted positives, while recall assesses the proportion of actual positives correctly identified by the model. The F1 score combines precision and recall into a single metric, providing a balanced view of the model's performance. High values in these metrics suggest robust diagnostic capability.

ROC Curve and AUC: The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve illustrates the trade-off between sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (1 - false positive rate). The Area Under the Curve (AUC) quantifies the model’s overall ability to distinguish between autistic and non-autistic individuals. A higher AUC value indicates better discriminative performance.

2. Predictive Accuracy and Robustness

Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE): For predictive models that estimate developmental trajectories or future needs, MSE and MAE are used to measure the accuracy of predictions against actual outcomes. Lower values of these errors reflect more accurate predictions.

Longitudinal Analysis: This involves evaluating how well predictive models align with observed developmental changes over time. Effective models should accurately forecast future developmental needs and responses to interventions, demonstrating their robustness and practical utility.

3. Effectiveness of Personalized Interventions

Clinical Outcomes: Assessing improvements in communication, social skills, and behavioral functioning as a result of personalized interventions is crucial. Clinical evaluations and standardized assessments can measure these improvements and provide evidence of the interventions' effectiveness. User Engagement and Satisfaction: Evaluating user engagement metrics and satisfaction surveys helps determine how well personalized interventions meet the needs and preferences of individuals with autism. High levels of engagement and positive feedback indicate successful personalization and user acceptance.

4. Impact of Robotic Interventions

Behavioral Metrics: Analyzing changes in social skills, communication abilities, and overall behavior resulting from interactions with therapeutic robots provides insights into the effectiveness of robotic interventions. Improvements in these areas suggest that robots are successfully contributing to therapeutic outcomes.

Engagement Levels: Measuring engagement during robotic therapy sessions helps assess how well the robots capture and maintain the user's interest. High engagement levels can indicate that the robotic interventions are interactive and motivating.

Functionality and Data Collection: Evaluating the functionality of robotic features and the relevance of collected data is essential. Effective robots should provide meaningful support and actionable insights for further interventions, as indicated by their operational performance and data utility.

5. Overall Integration and Effectiveness

Quality of Life Improvement: The overall impact on the quality of life for individuals with autism, including enhancements in independence, emotional well-being, and daily functioning, is a critical outcome measure.

Improvements in these areas reflect the success of the integrated approach combining machine learning, deep learning, and robotics.

Comparative Analysis: Comparing the performance of machine learning, deep learning, and robotic interventions with traditional diagnostic and therapeutic methods helps highlight innovations and improvements. This analysis can demonstrate the advantages of integrating advanced technologies into autism management.

Cost-Effectiveness: Evaluating the economic impact, including cost savings and efficiency gains associated with the adoption of these technologies, provides insights into their value relative to traditional methods. Cost-effectiveness analysis helps justify the investment in advanced technologies for autism detection and intervention.

This comprehensive evaluation framework ensures a thorough assessment of the effectiveness and impact of machine learning, deep learning, and robotic interventions in autism detection and management, providing valuable insights into their contributions to improving care and outcomes for individuals with autism.

CONCLUSION

The application of machine learning, deep learning, and robotics in autism detection and intervention marks a significant advancement in addressing the complexities of autism spectrum disorder. Machine learning and deep learning methodologies enhance diagnostic precision and predictive capabilities, allowing for earlier and more accurate identification of autism and the development of personalized treatment plans. Robotics, on the other hand, provides interactive and therapeutic support, helping individuals with autism to improve social skills, manage routines, and engage in meaningful activities. Together, these technologies offer a more individualized and effective approach to autism management, ultimately contributing to improved quality of life and better developmental outcomes for individuals with autism.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was performed under the financial support from the iHub Anubhuti-IIITD Foundation, Department of Science and Technology (DST) under Chanakya Fellowship Program, India (iHub Anubhuti/CHANAKYA Fellowship Grant UG/32). We extend our sincere gratitude to the foundation for providing the fellowship that enabled this research.

REFERENCES

[1]. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/autism-spectrum-disorders

[2]. R. Pandey, N. Maurya, P. Maurya and P. Saxena, "Predictive approach for Autism Detection using Computer Vision and Deep Learning," 2024 MIT Art, Design and Technology School of Computing International Conference (MITADTSoCiCon), Pune, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/MITADTSoCiCon60330.2024.10575142.

[3]. M. Singla, K. S. Gill, P. Aggarwal, R. S. Rawat and Y. Chanti, "Utilizing Cutting-Edge Deep Learning Strategies and Harnessing the Power of a Pre-Trained ResNet18 Convolutional Neural Network for Assessing the Risk of Autism," 2024 International Conference on E-mobility, Power Control and Smart Systems (ICEMPS), Thiruvananthapuram, India, 2024, pp. 01-06, doi: 10.1109/ICEMPS60684.2024.10559289.

[4]. H. Ibrahim, A. K. Al-Talabani and C. K. Loo, "Utilizing Support Vector Machine to Help with Social Robot Therapy for Autism Spectrum Disorder," 2024 21st International Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals & Devices (SSD), Erbil, Iraq, 2024, pp. 562-567, doi: 10.1109/SSD61670.2024.10548243.

[5]. X. Shi, C. Zhao, W. Li, Y. Zheng, Y. Chi and H. Shi, "A Hybrid Tool by Spherical Video-based Virtual Reality for Teacher Coaching Training of Early Childhood Autism Rehabilitation," 2023 IEEE 5th Eurasia Conference on IOT, Communication and Engineering (ECICE), Yunlin, Taiwan, 2023, pp. 290-293, doi: 10.1109/ECICE59523.2023.10382980.

[6]. S. Bose and P. Seth, "Screening of Autism Spectrum Disorder using Machine Learning Approach in Accordance with DSM-5," 2023 7th International Conference on Electronics, Materials Engineering & Nano-Technology (IEMENTech), Kolkata, India, 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/IEMENTech60402.2023.10423494.

[7]. K. -F. Kollias, L. M. Maia Marques Torres E Silva, P. Sarigiannidis, C. K. Syriopoulou-Delli and G. F. Fragulis, "Implementation of Robots in Autism Spectrum Disorder Research: Diagnosis and Emotion Recognition and Expression," 2023 12th International Conference on Modern Circuits and Systems Technologies (MOCAST), Athens, Greece, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/MOCAST57943.2023.10176588

[8]. K. Mittal, K. S. Gill, D. Upadhyay, S. Dangi and G. R. Kumar, "Applying Machine Learning and the Gradient Boosting Classification Method for Evaluating the Probability of Autism," 2024 IEEE 9th International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT), Pune, India, 2024, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/I2CT61223.2024.10544362.

[9]. A. Kusumaningsih, C. V. Angkoso and A. K. Nugroho, "Autism Screening Prediction Based on Multi-kernel Support Vector Machine," 2023 IEEE 9th Information Technology International Seminar (ITIS), Batu Malang, Indonesia, 2023, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ITIS59651.2023.10420224

[10]. A. S. Jeyapratap, D. Parker, B. E. Yerys, R. Verma and Y. Osmanlıoğlu, "Analysis of Structural Brain Network Topology Alterations in Autism and its Relationship with Autism Severity," 2023 IEEE 20th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), Cartagena, Colombia, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ISBI53787.2023.10230815.

[11]. K. Mittal, K. S. Gill, D. Upadhyay, V. Singh and S. Aluvala, "Applying Machine Learning for Autism Risk Evaluation Using a Decision Tree Classification Technique," 2024 2nd International Conference on Computer, Communication and Control (IC4), Indore, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/IC457434.2024.10486622.

[12]. K. S. Gill, Bhavya, D. Upadhyay and S. Dangi, "Utilization of Naive Bayes Classifier for Autism Risk Assessment Using Machine Learning," 2024 3rd International Conference for Innovation in Technology (INOCON), Bangalore, India, 2024, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/INOCON60754.2024.10512003.

[13]. S. R. Shahamiri, "Autism Artificial Intelligence Performance Analysis: Five Years of Operation," 2023 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT), Orem, UT, USA, 2023, pp. 79-83, doi: 10.1109/ICALT58122.2023.00029.

[14]. M. s. v. s. k. Narala, S. Vemuri and C. Kattula, "A Comparative Study for Autism spectrum disorder Using EfficientNet, ResNet50," 2023 International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Networks and Security (ICCINS), Mylavaram, India, 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICCINS58907.2023.10450124.

[15]. N. N. M, S. W. D., S. A. R, K. Manathunga, D. Chamara and I. Gamage, "Effectiveness of Virtual Realityin Improving Learning Outcomes for Children with Autism," 2024 IEEE 9th International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT), Pune, India, 2024, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/I2CT61223.2024.10543652.

[16]. Y. Siagian, Muhathir and M. D. R, "Classification of Autism Using Feature Extraction Speed Up Robust Feature (SURF) with Boosting Algorithm," 2023 International Conference on Information Technology Research and Innovation (ICITRI), Jakarta, Indonesia, 2023, pp. 60-64, doi: 10.1109/ICITRI59340.2023.10250127.

[17]. P. Kumar, R. Dwedi, M. I. Alam, S. Akhter and K. Kumar, "Predictive Modeling for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Leveraging Machine Learning Algorithms to Assess Likelihood from Multifactorial Features," 2023 International Conference on Advances in Computation, Communication and Information Technology (ICAICCIT), Faridabad, India, 2023, pp. 670-675, doi: 10.1109/ICAICCIT60255.2023.10465737

[18]. L. K and S. M, "Identification of Biomarker for Autism Spectrum Disorder Using EEG: A Review," 2023 International Conference on Advanced Computing & Communication Technologies (ICACCTech), Banur, India, 2023, pp. 45-50, doi: 10.1109/ICACCTech61146.2023.00017.

[19]. S. Rachel, C. Buvana and S. Srinithi, "LEARNAUT - Upgraded Learning Environment and Web Application for Autism Environment using AR-VR," 2023 7th International Conference On Computing, Communication, Control And Automation (ICCUBEA), Pune, India, 2023, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ICCUBEA58933.2023.10392181.

[20]. R. I. Does, V. Nivedita, S. V. S. Reddy, D. L. F. Jana, M. Sudha and R. R. Manjul, "Robot-Assisted Cognitive Training for Children with Autism Insights and Outcomes," 2023 7th International Conference on Electronics, Communication and Aerospace Technology (ICECA), Coimbatore, India, 2023, pp. 139-144, doi: 10.1109/ICECA58529.2023.10395646